

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/594,096             | 09/25/2006                        | Yasushi Okubo        | 06660/HG              | 8903             |
|                        | 7590 10/23/200<br>OLTZ, GOODMAN & |                      | EXAM                  | IINER            |
| 220 Fifth Avenue       |                                   |                      | HIGGINS, GERARD T     |                  |
| 16TH Floor<br>NEW YORK | NY 10001-7708                     |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |                  |
| THE TOTAL              | 10001 7700                        |                      | 1794                  |                  |
|                        |                                   |                      |                       |                  |
|                        |                                   |                      | MAIL DATE             | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                        |                                   |                      | 10/23/2008            | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/594.096 OKUBO ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

|  | GERARD T. HIGGINS  | 1794   |              |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the o   | orrespondence ad   | ldress       |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of imm may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or 1.14 | TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a repty be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N.<br>nely filed<br>the mailing date of this o<br>D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |              |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Se   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 September 2006</u> .   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This   | This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  |  |              |  |  |  |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex  | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45   | 53 O.G. 213.   |              |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 8)☑ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and/or e   | lection requirement.   |  |              |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  | :  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce  | pted or b) objected to by the  | Examiner.  |              |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  | on is required if the drawing(s) is ob   | ected to. See 37 Cl  | FR 1.121(d). |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa   | aminer. Note the attached Office   | Action or form P7  | ΓO-152.      |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)  | -(d) or (f).   |              |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priori<br/>application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>   | •  | ed in this National  | Stage        |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of  |  | ıd   |              |  |  |  |
| Coo the diagnost detailed chief delicities a list of   | or the continue copies her receive   |  |              |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary   | (PTO-413)  |              |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Proffsperson's Patent Proving Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da  |  |              |  |  |  |

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/CS) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_ 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Application/Control Number: 10/594,096 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-4, 7, and 8, drawn to a first transparent conductive film.

Group II, claim(s) 5, 6, 14, and 15, drawn to a second transparent conductive film.

Group III, claim(s) 9-12, drawn to a manufacturing method of the transparent conductive film of claim 1.

Group IV, claim(s) 13, drawn to a first organic electroluminescent device utilizing the film of claim 1.

Group V, claim(s) 16, drawn to a manufacturing method of the transparent conductive film of claim 5.

Group VI, claim(s) 17, drawn to a second organic electroluminescent device utilizing the film of claim 5.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature of Group I is that the refractive index "continuously or stepwise decreases" from a one surface to the other in the film, while the special technical feature of Group II is that there are two gas barrier layers and a specific inequality relating the order of refractive indices in the film. These are not the same or corresponding technical features, and therefore the inventions lack unity. The special technical feature of Group III and V is that at least one of the layers in their respective films layer is formed by plasma CVD; however, neither Group I nor II nor IV nor VI discloses plasma CVD manufacture. This means that the respective groups lack unity of invention. The

Application/Control Number: 10/594,096

Art Unit: 1794

special technical feature of Groups IV and VI are organic electroluminescent element constituting layers, and the fact that the films are used in an organic electroluminescent device. Neither Group I nor II nor III nor V disclose that the films are used in an organic electroluminescent device or that they are usable with organic electroluminescent element constituting layers. This therefore means the inventions lack unity.

 A telephone call was made to Douglas Holtz on 10/16/2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/594,096

Art Unit: 1794

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.
Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.
All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,096

Art Unit: 1794

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GERARD T. HIGGINS whose telephone number is (571)270-3467. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30am-7pm est. (1st Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Callie Shosho can be reached on 571-272-1123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gerard T Higgins, Ph.D. Examiner Art Unit 1794

/Gerard T Higgins, Ph.D./ Examiner, Art Unit 1794

/Callie E. Shosho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794